P.O. Box 336 Grades country.

Calhoun Falls High

Calhoun Falls, SC 29628

6-12 High School

Enrollment 297 Students

Principal Nelson Gibson 864-447-8014

Superintendent Dr. Ivan Randolph 864-459-5427

Board Chair Dr. Allen Kolb 864-366-9094

The State of South Carolina

Annual School Report Card 2005

ABSOLUTE RATING

AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

3 6 7 8 1

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 5 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Below Average	Excellent	No
2004	Good	Excellent	Yes
2005	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 2004 2005 2006 2004 2005 2006

Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	67.7	72.0	N/A	69.4	63.1	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	11.3	14.0	N/A	15.8	17.8	N/A
Passed no subtests	21.0	14.0	N/A	19.7	19.1	N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2005 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours

93.0%

93.0%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP									
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours							
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	2.5	5.9							
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	2.5	6.2							
Seniors who met the grade point average	55.0	36.9							

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

Percent

GRADUATION RATE								
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Number of Students	50	102						
Number of Diplomas	35	145						
Rate	70.0%	70.2%						

ORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUP

		Exit Exam Passage Eligibility for LIFE Rate by Spring 2005 Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All Students	43	93.0	40	2.5	50	70.0	YES
Gender							
Male	22	100.0	23	4.3	28	71.4	N/A
Female	21	85.7	17	0.0	22	68.2	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	25	92.0	20	5.0	26	69.2	N/A
African American	18	94.4	20	0.0	24	70.8	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
Non disabled	40	95.0	N/A	N/A	42	78.6	N/A
Disabilities other than speech	3	I/S	6	I/S	8	I/S	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Non-migrant	43	93.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	43	93.0	N/A	N/A	50	70.0	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	23	91.3	22	0.0	27	70.4	N/A
Full-pay meals	20	95.0	N/A	N/A	23	69.6	N/A

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	OLIP								
HOAF FERFORMANCE BY GRO			Ι.,	. /		Ι.	% Proficient and Advance_d	$\supset \int_{-\infty}$. /.
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced] [al	Performance Objective	Participation Objective
		ig ig	Mog	Base	Joffe	dva _l	Jugar Jugar		
	18.0%	2 / %	/ 8	/ %	/ %	/ %	19 P	[\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Par
							/ « ₹	L^{-}	\coprod
	nglish/Lan					ctive = 33	, .		V/E0
All Students Gender	50	98.0	14.6	37.5	27.1	20.8	62.5	YES	YES
Male	21	100.0	15.0	45.0	30.0	10.0	65.0	N/A	N/A
Female	29	96.6	14.3	32.1	25.0	28.6	60.7	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	25	30.0	14.0	02.1	20.0	20.0	00.7	14/71	14/71
White	25	100.0	24.0	12.0	36.0	28.0	68.0	I/S	I/S
African American	25	96.0	4.3	65.2	17.4	13.0	56.5	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	45	97.8	7.0	39.5	30.2	23.3	69.8	N/A	N/A
Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	50	98.0	14.6	37.5	27.1	20.8	62.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	50	98.0	14.6	37.5	27.1	20.8	62.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	24	07.4	40.5	40.0	40.0	21.9	59.4	I/S	1/0
Subsidized meals	34 16	97.1	12.5 18.8	46.9 18.8	18.8 43.8	18.8	68.8	N/A	I/S N/A
Full-pay meals	•	•					00.0	J N/A	I N/A
	Mathemati								
All Students	50	98.0	22.9	39.6	33.3	4.2	56.3	YES	YES
Gender	04	400.0	05.0	40.0	05.0	40.0	55.0	NI/A	NIZA
Male	21	100.0	25.0	40.0	25.0	10.0	55.0	N/A	N/A
Female Racial/Ethnic Group	29	96.6	21.4	39.3	39.3	N/A	57.1	N/A	N/A
White	25	100.0	32.0	24.0	36.0	8.0	60.0	I/S	I/S
African American	25	96.0	13.0	56.5	30.4	N/A	52.2	I/S	1/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		1471	1471	1471	1471	1471	1471	., 0	1,0
Not Disabled	45	97.8	14.0	44.2	37.2	4.7	62.8	N/A	N/A
Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	50	98.0	22.9	39.6	33.3	4.2	56.3	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	50	98.0	22.9	39.6	33.3	4.2	56.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	34	97.1	18.8	46.9	34.4	N/A	53.1	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	16	100.0	31.3	25.0	31.3	12.5	62.5	N/A	N/A

Schools Change from Chan					
Retention rate 5.8% billion rate Down from 10.1% billion rom 96.6% billion rom 96	SCHOOL PROFILE			Schools with Students	High
Attendance rate 96.3% Down from 96.6% 95.6% 95.6% Eligible for grifted and talented 0.0% Down from 2.0% 4.9% 5.9% 19.0% With disabilities other than speech 9.1% Down from 9.2% 16.0% 13.3% Older than usual for grade 7.4% Down from 7.6% 11.3% 10.1% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	, ,				
Eligible for gifted and talented					
With disabilities other than speech 9.1% Down from 9.2% 16.0% 13.3% Older than usual for grade 7.4% Down from 7.6% 11.3% 10.1% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent & for criminal offenses 2.0% Up from 1.5% 2.0% 2.0% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 3.7% Down from 12.0% 5.2% 9.7% Successful on AP/IB exams N/A N/A 31.4% 53.7% Annual dropout rate 5.4% Up from 2.9% 3.1% 3.0% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 17.3% Down from 148 361 431 Enrollment in career/technology center courses 130 Down from 148 361 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 60.3% Up from 52.2% 13.4% 23.4% Career/technology students mastering core competencies 88.1% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 87.9% 50.0% 54.5% Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 77.9%					
Older than usual for grade 7.4% Dut-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 7.4% Up from 1.5% 11.3% 10.1% Dut-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses Enrolled in AP/IB programs 3.7% Down from 12.0% 5.2% 9.7% Successful on AP/IB exams Annual dropout rate 5.4% Up from 2.9% 3.1% 31.4% 53.7% Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 17.3% Down from 25.4% 5.0% 3.1% 3.0% Career/technology center courses Finollment in career/technology center courses 130 Down from 148 361 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 60.3% Up from 52.2% 13.4% 23.4% Career/technology students mastering core experiences 88.1% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 98.0% 99.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 37.9% 50.0% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 82.1% Down from 93.1% 72.5% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 87.5% Up from 87.0% 89.8% 89.1% Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 87.0% 89.8% 89.1% Career/technology completers placed 96.9% Up from 87.0% 89.8% 89.4% 89	o o				
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 2.0% Up from 1.5% 2.0% 2.0% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 3.7% Down from 12.0% 5.2% 9.7% Successful on AP/IB exams N/A N/A 31.4% 53.7% Annual dropout rate 5.4% Up from 2.9% 3.1% 3.0% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 17.3% Down from 25.4% 5.0% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology center courses 130 Down from 148 361 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 60.3% Up from 52.2% 13.4% 23.4% Career/technology students mastering core competencies 88.1% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 95.7% 98.0% 99.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 95.7% 98.0% 99.4% Teachers with emergency or provisional contract teachers 87.5% Up from 97.0% 89.8% 89.1% Teachers returning from previous year 87.0%					
violent &/or criminal offenses Enrolled in AP/IB programs 3.7% Down from 12.0% 5.2% 9.7% Successful on AP/IB exams N/A N/A N/A 31.4% 53.7% Annual dropout rate 5.4% Up from 2.9% 3.1% 3.0% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 17.3% Down from 25.4% 5.0% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology center courses 130 Down from 148 361 431 Enrollment in career/technology students mastering core experiences 60.3% Up from 52.2% 13.4% 23.4% Eareer/technology students mastering core competencies 68.1% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 95.7% 98.0% 99.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 95.7% 98.0% 99.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 93.1% 72.5% 78.6% Continuing contract teachers 87.5% Up from 95.7% 89.8% 89.1% Highly qualified teachers 87.5%	•				
Successful on AP/IB exams	violent &/or criminal offenses		'		
Annual dropout rate	1 0				
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology center courses Students participating in worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies Career/technology students mastering core competencies Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 78.6% 99.4% 12					
Down from 148 361 431 431 23.4% 23					
Courses Students participating in worked-based experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies Career/technology students mastering core competencies Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 78.6% 99.4% Teachers (n= 28) Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 37.9% 50.0% 54.5% 78.6% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 77.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 77.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 77.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 78.6% 50.0% 54.5% 54.5% 5		17.3%	Down from 25.4%	5.0%	3.1%
Experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies Sa.1% Up from 87.9% 75.8% 78.6% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 95.7% 98.0% 99.4% Teachers (n= 28)		130	Down from 148	361	431
Carreer/technology completers placed 96.4% Up from 95.7% 98.0% 99.4% Teachers (In=28) Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 37.9% 50.0% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 82.1% Down from 93.1% 72.5% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 87.5% Up from 87.0% 89.8% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional 0.0% Down from 3.6% 11.5% 9.1% certificates Teachers returning from previous year 87.0% Up from 82.2% 83.5% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 96.9% Up from 95.9% 95.4% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$39,542 Up 3.4% \$40,884 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change Good Good **Prior year audited financial data are reported.** Our District State Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes		60.3%	Up from 52.2%	13.4%	23.4%
Teachers (n= 28)		88.1%	Up from 87.9%	75.8%	78.6%
Teachers with advanced degrees 53.6% Up from 37.9% 50.0% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 82.1% Down from 93.1% 72.5% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 87.5% Up from 87.0% 89.8% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% Down from 3.6% 11.5% 9.1% Teachers returning from previous year 87.0% Up from 82.2% 83.5% 86.9% Teachers attendance rate 96.9% Up from 95.9% 95.4% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$39,542 Up 3.4% \$40,884 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Oblians spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil*	Career/technology completers placed	96.4%	Up from 95.7%	98.0%	99.4%
Continuing contract teachers 82.1% Down from 93.1% 72.5% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 87.5% Up from 87.0% 89.8% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% Down from 3.6% 11.5% 9.1% Teachers returning from previous year 87.0% Up from 82.2% 83.5% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 96.9% Up from 95.9% 95.4% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$39,542 Up 3.4% \$40,884 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School 80.00 Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School 80.00 Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School 80.00 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars sp	Teachers (n= 28)				
Highly qualified teachers 87.5% Up from 87.0% 89.8% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% Down from 3.6% 11.5% 9.1% 11.5% 9.1% 12.0% Eacher attendance rate 96.9% Up from 95.9% 95.4% 95.4% 95.4% 42.426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days	Teachers with advanced degrees	53.6%	Up from 37.9%	50.0%	54.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 87.0% Up from 82.2% 83.5% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 96.9% Up from 95.9% 95.4% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$39,542 Up 3.4% \$40,884 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student—teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Good No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 99.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State		82.1%	Down from 93.1%	72.5%	78.6%
certificates Teachers returning from previous year 87.0% Up from 82.2% 83.5% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 96.9% Up from 95.9% 95.4% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$39,542 Up 3.4% \$40,884 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change 99.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change	Highly qualified teachers	87.5%	Up from 87.0%	89.8%	89.1%
Teacher attendance rate 96.9% Up from 95.9% 95.4% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$39,542 Up 3.4% \$40,884 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. **No change Good Good		0.0%	Down from 3.6%	11.5%	9.1%
Average teacher salary \$39,542 Up 3.4% \$40,884 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Good No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1%					
Prof. development days/teacher 6.1 days Up from 5.6 days 10.6 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Good No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported.* Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Teacher attendance rate	96.9%	Up from 95.9%	95.4%	95.4%
School Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective		, .		1 - 1	, , .
Principal's years at school 12.0 Up from 11.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective	Prof. development days/teacher	6.1 days	Up from 5.6 days	10.6 days	10.9 days
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 16.1 to 1 Down from 18.2 to 1 24.3 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Program to program to program and the program and the program to	School				
Prime instructional time 92.3% Up from 91.9% 89.1% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Principal's years at school	12.0	Up from 11.0	2.0	3.0
Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,839 Up 4.6% \$6,811 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	16.1 to 1	Down from 18.2 to 1	24.3 to 1	25.8 to 1
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 62.0% No change 55.1% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State					
Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent *Prior year audited financial data are reported.* **Our District State* Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% **State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes*		\$6,839	Up 4.6%	\$6,811	
Parents attending conferences 99.0% No change 89.7% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent Proor year audited financial data are reported. Our District State					
SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent *Prior year audited financial data are reported.** No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported.** Our District State			No change		
Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. *Prior year audited financial data are reported. *Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% *State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes					
*Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes			•		
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes		Excellent	· ·		
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 94.1% 90.1% State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes			Our District		
State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in low poverty school	ols	N/A	89	.4%
Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in high poverty scho	ols	94.1%	90	.1%
0 / 1			State Objective	Met State	Objective
Student attendance in this school 95.3% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%	Y	es
	Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Y	es

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Both the high school and middle school continued to show progress from the previous year. The high school absolute rating was good with an improvement rating of excellent. The middle school absolute rating was average with an improvement rating of good. Both schools met AYP requirements.

We continued programs from the previous year that had been successful, including our after school programs which provided homework assistance and enrichments for students in all grades, 6-12. Financial assistance from the State Department of Education provided assets for professional development programs and an additional teacher specialist concentrating on reading and language arts. Working closely with our School Improvement Council, we continued stressing academic programs designed to help all students, striving to close all achievement gaps.

Our goal is to continue building on our successes and continue our forward progress.

Nelson Gibson Principal Calhoun Falls High School

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	27	33	28					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.6%	63.6%	80.8%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	96.3%	69.7%	92.6%					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	66.7%	75.8%	50.0%					

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.